

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

LELAND FOSTER, Individually,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	
	:	Case No. 2:13-cv-10865
AFJ Ann Arbor LLC, a Michigan Limited	:	
Liability Company	:	
and	:	
	:	
U VENTURES, INC., a Michigan Corporation	:	
Defendants.	:	
_____	/:	

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND EQUITABLE RELIEF
(w/Jury Demand Endorsed Hereon)

Plaintiff, LELAND FOSTER, Individually, (sometimes referred to as “Plaintiff”), hereby sue the U VENTURES, INC., a Michigan Corporation and AFJ Ann Arbor LLC, a Michigan Limited Liability Company, (sometimes referred to as “Defendants”), for Injunctive Relief, and attorney’s fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. (“ADA”).

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, LELAND FOSTER, is an individual residing in Swanton, OH, in the County of Fulton.
2. Defendant, AFJ Ann Arbor LLC’s building is located at 1220 South University, Ann Arbor, MI 48104 in Washtenaw County.
3. Defendant, U Ventures Inc.’s business BLUE LEPRECHAUN is located at 1220 South University, Ann Arbor, MI 48104 in Washtenaw County.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Count I is brought pursuant to the laws of the United States, therefore the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. Count II utilizes the same core of operative

fact, and is therefore subject to supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

5. Venue is properly located in the Eastern District of Michigan because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendants' property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
7. Plaintiff, LELAND FOSTER is an Ohio resident, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Leland Foster is an individual diagnosed with cerebral palsy and permanently uses a wheelchair for mobility. Additionally Leland Foster has difficulty grasping with his hands also as a result of his disability. LELAND FOSTER is an avid adaptive sports enthusiast and participates regularly with the active Ann Arbor adaptive sports community, including in hand cycle events and adaptive skiing with the various local Ann Arbor organizations and other enthusiasts in the community. Leland Foster frequents many establishments in the city of Ann Arbor and has visited and been a customer several times at the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property. The barriers to access at the property have endangered his safety.
8. Completely independent of the personal desire to have access to this place of public accommodation free of illegal barriers to access, Plaintiff also acts as a "tester" for the purpose of discovering, encountering, and engaging discrimination against the disabled in

public accommodations. When acting as a "tester," Plaintiff employs a routine practice. Plaintiff personally visits the public accommodation; engages all of the barriers to access, or at least all of those that Plaintiff is able to access; and tests all of those barriers to access to determine whether and the extent to which they are illegal barriers to access; proceeds with legal action to enjoin such discrimination; and subsequently returns to the premises to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA and to otherwise use the public accommodation as members of the able-bodied community are able to do. Independent of other subsequent visits, Plaintiff also intends to visit the premises annually to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA, and its maintenance of the accessible features of the premises. In this instance, Plaintiff, in Plaintiff's individual capacity as a customer and as a "tester," visited the Facility, encountered barriers to access at the Facility, and engaged and tested those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access and the ADA violations set forth herein.

9. Defendants own, lease, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendants are responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendants own, operates, leases or leases to is known as BLUE LEPRECHAUN is located at 1220 South University, Ann Arbor, MI 48104.
10. LELAND FOSTER has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendants' non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 12 of this complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendants. LELAND

FOSTER desires to visit BLUE LEPRECHAUN not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure herself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.

11. The Defendants have discriminated against the individual Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.
12. The Defendants have discriminated, and are continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, inter alia, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of BLUE LEPRECHAUN has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:

Accessible Routes

- A. Steps are located on the accessible route denying access to nightclub level, ultra lounge & public restrooms in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable.

Public Restrooms

- B. Dispensers are mounted at heights in excess of allowable reach range in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable
- C. Men's restroom has no rear grab bar in designated accessible toilet stall in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable
- D. Men's restroom vanity/sink has no or insufficient knee and toe clearance in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable

Employee Kitchen Restroom

- E. The employee kitchen restroom, located on 1st floor, has no grab bars in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable
- F. The employee kitchen restroom vanity/sink has no or insufficient knee and toe clearance in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable

- G. The employee kitchen restroom door hardware requires tight clasp and twisting in violation of the ADA whose remedy is readily achievable.

Policies and Procedures

- H. The operator lacks or has inadequate defined policies and procedures for the assistance of disabled patrons.

13. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 12 are not an exclusive list of the Defendants' ADA violations. Plaintiff requires the inspection of the Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The Plaintiff, has been denied access to, and has been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendants' buildings and its facilities, and has otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendants because of the Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth above. The individual Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

14. Plaintiff restates the allegations of ¶¶1-13 as if fully rewritten here.
15. BLUE LEPRECHAUN, and the businesses therein, are public accommodations and service establishments, and as such must be, but are not, in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines ("ADAAG").
16. Plaintiff was unlawfully denied full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities,

privileges, and advantages of the property and the businesses therein on the basis of his disability, due to Defendants' property failing to be in compliance with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act and its accompanying regulations. as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182, *et seq.*, and will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the facility, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the facility accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities, including Plaintiff.

17. The Plaintiff, and others similarly-situated, are without adequate remedy at law and are suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipate that they will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility, including those set forth herein.

18. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12187, Plaintiff requests that the Court issue an injunction requiring Defendants to make such readily achievable alterations as are legally required to provide full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, and advantages on its property to disabled persons. In connection with that relief, Plaintiff requests reasonable attorney's fees and costs of maintaining this action.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES CIVIL RIGHTS ACT
M.C.L. § 37.1301 et seq.

19. Plaintiff restates the allegations of ¶¶1 - 18 as if fully rewritten here.

20. BLUE LEPRECHAUN, and the businesses therein, are "place[s] of public accommodation" pursuant to M.C.L §37.1301(a).

21. Defendants committed an unlawful act pursuant to M.C.L §37.1302(a) by denying Plaintiff full enjoyment of its goods, services, accommodations, advantages, facilities, or privileges.

22. Pursuant to M.C.L §37.1606, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory and punitive damages,

and attorneys fees and costs, in an amount to be determined at trial, but in any event not less than \$25,000.00, as well as issuance of an injunction requiring Defendants to allow full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, and advantages to disabled persons.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands,

for Count I, an injunction requiring Defendants to make all readily achievable alterations to allow full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, and advantages to disabled persons, and the reasonable attorneys fees and costs of maintaining this action; and,

for Count II, compensatory and punitive damages, and attorneys fees and costs, in an amount to be determined at trial, but in any event not less than \$25,000.00, as well as issuance of an injunction requiring Defendants to allow full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, and advantages to disabled persons.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on liability and damages for Count II.

Respectfully Submitted,

Counsel for Plaintiff:

\s\ Owen B Dunn Jr -- dated: February 28, 2013

Owen B. Dunn, Jr., Esq. MI Bar Number P66315
Law Office of Owen B. Dunn, Jr.
520 Madison Ave., Suite 330
Toledo, OH 43604
(419) 241-9661
(419) 241-9661 – Phone
(419) 241-9737 - Facsimile
dunnlawoffice@sbcglobal.net